



Continuation of Identification of prior art discussed: The examiner contacted Ms. Monachello to discuss the claims in an effort to advance prosecution. The examiner indicated that claim 1 was still obvious over the prior art, but that claim 11 was a very specific claim that had been found to be free of the prior art and had allowable subject matter. Ms. Monachello indicated that she would be willing to accept the allowance of claim 11 if claim 4 could be amended to depend on claim 11. The examiner would talk to her SPE to see if this amendment would be possible. 8/6/2010-The examiner contacted Ms. Monachello to let her know that the proposal to amend claim 4 to depend on claim 11 was acceptable. Ms. Monachello gave authorization on August 6, 2010 to execute an examiner's amendment to cancel claims 1 and 9-10; change the claim language of claim 4 to make it dependent on claim 11 and make the claim commensurate in scope with independent claim 11, i.e. adding the specific active agent and specific pharmaceutical excipients disclosed in claim 11; and add the terms "excluding starch" after carbohydrates in component e of claim 11.